

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,584	10/06/2003	Yong Woon Kim	04015-P0001A SPM/HJP	5923
24126	7590 04/26/2005		EXAM	INER
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET			MANAHAN, TODD E	
	STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Θ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/679,584	KIM, YONG WOON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Todd E. Manahan	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected re drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/26/04. 	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 26 April 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deroo (United States Patent No. 6,089,870) in view of Machida (United States Patent No. 6,426,149).

Deroo discloses a dental construct comprising a dental construct substrate and an image layer in the form of a decal composed of a colorant composition such as dental stains which are non-toxic and thus would be "substantially free of lead and cadmium". The construct and decal are fired at 1200-1800 degrees Fahrenheit to permanently affix the decal to the construct. Deroo discloses the invention essentially as claimed except for the ceramic layer covering the decal. Machida discloses a dental construct having an ornamental layer coated by a protective ceramic layer that is generally transparent and comprises at least one or both a ceramic material and a glaze material. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of

Art Unit: 3732

Deroo with a transparent ceramic layer disposed over the ornamental decal in view of Machida in order to seal the decal and provide excellent durability and keep the design for a long time.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is 571 272-4713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571 273-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.E. Manahan 15 April 2005

Todd E. Manahan Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3732